# CO1706 Assignment 1 (2020-2021)

## 40% Grade band

| Criteria | Completed? |
| --- | --- |
| Shown some evidence of following the client’s requirements:   * Uses corporate colour in some form (#B3FC52) * All pages must show the app name and/or logo * Each page must contain a menu that links to other pages * Artist page shows a biography and images | ✔  *(One thing I noted was that you have merged your artist page with your about page. Just watch out for this in the future as the client requested separate pages.)* |
| HTML5 structured appropriately | ✔ (Watch your indentation in parts) |
| 3 pages:   * Home screen, containing a welcome to the user, some information about featured artists and details of pricing plans * Music selection page, showing a list of the music available, sorted by genre * An example artist information page, showing a biography and example albums | ✔ |
| All appropriate links between pages | ✔ |
| Suitable images included with alt descriptions | ✔ |
| Some styling with CSS in a separate linked page, and evidence that some thought has been put into the design of pages | ✔ |
| Basic consistency between pages | ✔ |
| Demo in lab, able to answer basic questions on the implementation | ✔ |

## 50% Grade band

| Criteria | Completed? |
| --- | --- |
| Met client’s requirements to a satisfactory level:   * Corporate colour as specified (#B3FC52) * All pages must use a sans-serif typeface * Biography fully justified * Album artwork has solid border around it * Album title shown beneath each album | ✔ Some album titles are missing from beneath each album. |
| Code which is neatly structured | ✔ (watch indentation in parts) |
| HTML/CSS content validated without errors (e.g. through <https://validator.w3.org/> and <https://jigsaw.w3.org/css-validator/>) | ✔ |
| Design which attempts to achieve WCAG Level A compliance | ✔ |
| Styling in CSS to make a layout suitable for a mobile app | ✔ (Partial) *Some pages are more responsive than others.* |
| Colour scheme and design which are consistent and reasonable, and achieved through a single stylesheet (no styling through HTML) | ✔ |
| Styling of tables on the music selection page | ✔ |
| Consistent and clear navigation structure between pages, styled in CSS (e.g. navigation lists) | ✔ |

## 60% Grade band

| Criteria | Completed? |
| --- | --- |
| Comments in the code which should explain design choices made, in light of HCI/design principles covered in lectures | ✔ |
| Good use of CSS including the appropriate use of classes/IDs | ✔ |
| Measures taken to ensure special characters display correctly | ✔ |
| Methods to navigate within the music selection page (e.g. anchors, or invisible/visible markers in CSS) | ✔ |
| Fluid layout which should work with different sizes of screen (this could be achieved through relative sizing of elements) | ✔ (Partial) I noted that on some of your pages some elements are not at all responsive, however on your Music page you have a fully responsive page almost) |

## 70% Grade band

| Criteria | Completed? |
| --- | --- |
| A separate screen of the app (e.g. an ‘about’ screen) that details the design choices made, in light of HCI/design principles covered in lectures, with appropriate academic references (please use the Harvard style) |  |
| Appropriate design and use of CSS to present information in the music selection page clearly | ✔ |
| Responsive design to accommodate different devices (e.g. use of media queries) |  |
| A professional looking prototype app with no significant usability flaws |  |
| Ability to answer any reasonable questions on the implementation or design as required | ✔ |

## Suggested mark scheme for lab tutors:

| Work completed | Banded Mark |
| --- | --- |
| Non-submission | 0 |
| < 2 40%s | 10 |
| Some attempt, but poor (e.g. missing pages) | 25 |
| Missing several, e.g. no CSS | 30 |
| Missing several but good attempt (e.g. CSS not linked) | 35 |
| Missing one minor criteria (e.g. missing alt tags, some consistency errors, weak demo) | 42 |
| All 40%s done ok | 45 |
| All 40%s done well, or 1-2 ‘minor’ 50%s (e.g. structured code, attempt at colour scheme) | 48 |
| Some 50% criteria working | 52 |
| Some 50% criteria missing (e.g. minor validation error, or messy code) | 55 |
| All 50%s | 58 |
| 1-2 60%s | 62 |
| Nearly all 60%s | 65 |
| **All 60%s** | **68** |
| Something from the 70%s | 74 |
| A few from the 70%s | 80 |
| Most 70%s, or all but missing something lower | 87 |
| All 70%s, but not perfect | 94 |
| All plus evidence of independent study, fully professional website | 100 |

**Overall mark awarded: 65**

**Second Marker:**